My name is Christian Gonzalez-Rivera, and I am a senior researcher at the Center for an Urban Future, a non-partisan public policy think-tank based here in Manhattan that publishes studies about how New York can expand economic opportunity and grow its economy. For 20 years, we have been writing about and influencing workforce policy in New York City and the State. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

The Center for an Urban Future supports the premise of Intro 708, which calls for a task force on disconnected youth. I would like to share with the Council via this testimony a few issues that our research suggests such a task force should look into and prioritize.

At the end of the previous mayoral administration we published a study called *Bridging the Disconnect*, which outlined the ways in which the city’s workforce development system was failing to serve youth and young adults and presented a set of recommendations for the de Blasio administration to consider better serving the city’s youngest workers. We thank the de Blasio administration and the Council for acting on many of these recommendations, including creating the Center for Youth Employment within the Mayor’s Office of Workforce Development, expanding Ladders for Leaders and the Young Adult Internship Program, creating a separate service track at HRA for young adults on cash assistance, and starting the process of revisiting the Summer Youth Employment Program.

But there is still much to be done.

The first issue area that we recommend the task force investigate involves finding ways to create and strengthen partnerships among providers of youth development services. While New York City has many good organizations that work with youth,
organizations can only provide the services that they have available, which may not be all the services they need. Yet it is
difficult for organizations to cooperate with one another to serve individuals, because funding structures only give credit to the
organization that generates a specific outcome—whether that is literacy attainment or a job—and does not give credit to the
organizations that may have helped get a young person to the point at which they can achieve that outcome. We have a good
model in the Lower East Side Employment Network (LESEN), where six organizations that serve Lower East Side youth all
share a common job developer position that is supported through philanthropic dollars, allowing the organizations to share
clients. This type of arrangement is a win for Lower East Side youth, because they get access to the services of six
organizations instead of just one, and it’s a win for the organizations, because each gets credit for their role in a young
person’s success. The task force should seek to replicate LESEN in high-need neighborhoods across the city.

The second set of issues is around strengthening the Department of Education’s (DOE) connection to the workforce system.
One of the ways that the DOE could be brought into the fold is by enlisting them to connect disconnected youth to services.
For such a model we can look across the country to Los Angeles’ YouthSource Center model. YouthSource Centers across
LA are one-stop shops where counselors from the LA Unified School District provide disconnected young people with
resources on completing school, getting a high school equivalency, and job readiness skills and training, career exploration,
and placement services. Here in New York the DOE can identify students who drop out and direct them to similar sets of
comprehensive resources.

The DOE should also be involved in creating and piloting career exploration programs starting in middle school. Our public
schools, which are funded at the tune of about $19,000 per student, should not be standing by and waiting until young people
drop out of school to teach them about careers and the world of work through a workforce development system that spends
just a fraction of that amount on each client. Career and Technical Education (CTE) schools currently have a strong career
component, but students in non-CTE public schools also deserve the opportunity to develop career interests at an early age
and learn about the kinds of education and training pathways that can lead them to the careers of their choice. Students who
understand that the goal of education is to prepare them for a future as a productive adult are more likely to succeed in
school.

The task force should also be looking into how the workforce development system can better serve small businesses. Our
research suggests that small businesses, especially those in immigrant neighborhoods, are more likely than larger
businesses to give young people a chance at employment. Yet the city’s workforce development system tends to work almost
exclusively with large employers that hire many people at once. The workforce development system should partner with
Business Improvement Districts (BID) and Chambers of Commerce to help meet the hiring needs of small businesses.

Finally, the task force should look into creating a deeper evidence base for youth programs by funding and supporting
evaluation of programs. Programs should be funded to not only provide services, but to also generate the kind of data that
would allow an entity like the Center for Economic Opportunity (CEO) to evaluate how well the program is meeting its goals.
CEO was able to use this evidence-based approach to establish the success of Ladders for Leaders and YAIP—both of
which the current administration has now expanded—but other programs should also be evaluated in this way.
But none of this is possible without strong support from the Mayor and from you, the City Council. You should use your influence to encourage more employers to offer internships and jobs to young people. As part of our research we talked to the workforce development folks up in Boston to understand how they are able to get so many young people into summer and year-round jobs. Boston is less than a tenth of the size of New York City, yet in 2013 when we did this research they were able to provide 3,000 young people with job readiness services during the academic term in preparation for placement into summer jobs at private employers. The city also subsidized the wages of an additional 7,000 young people through a program similar to our own SYEP. All the people we talked to in Boston said that they keys to their success were a strong central coordinating entity—which New York now has through the Center for Youth Employment—and strong personal support from the Mayor.
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